



Dark Energy Survey (DES) DECam Project Working Group Meeting

April 06, 2007

10:00 - 12:00 Noon

Snake Pit

Agenda

- 1) HQ Interactions: Feedback on Discussions with Headquarters [Kathy Turner/Paul Philp/Hugh Montgomery/John Peoples/Brenna Flaugher]
 - a) DOE/NSF CD-1 Review Preparations(Agenda and Review Committee) [Kathy Turner/John Peoples]
- 2) Status on preparations (documents and presentations) for DOE/NSF CD-1 Review
 - a) Overall DES Project
 - CDR (affects DES DM and CTIO primarily, because we will use the materials in the proposal)
 - Agreement on pPEPs
 - Unified Schedule
 - b) DECam [Brenna Flaugher]
 - c) DM [Joe Mohr/Cristina Beldica]
 - d) CTIO [Alistair Walker]
 - e) Website for posting of documents and presentations [John Peoples]
- 3) Scheduling of Dry Run presentations for DOE/NSF CD-1 Review [John Peoples]
- 4) Status on logistics support for the review [Dean Hoffer]
- 5) Review Timeline [Dean Hoffer]
- 6) Next Meeting [Dean Hoffer]
- 7) Status of Action Items

Potential Dry Run Reviewers

- Hugh Montgomery
- Gina Rameika
- Patty McBride
- Greg Bock
- Brian Yanny
- Scott Dodelson
- Bob Tschirhart or Steve Wolbers
- Dean Hoffer
- Ed Temple

Mont's Availability to Participate in Dry Runs

- April 9 Partial
- April 10 Partial
- April 11, 12, not
- April 13 partial maybe
- April 16 partial
- April 17 partial
- April 18 partial
- April 19 partial
- April 20, 21, 22, 23, not
- April 24, 25, 26 partial

OPMO Logistics Support


- NSF Panel Meeting April 30
 - Meeting Room Reserved
 - Breaks and Lunch?
- Review May 1-3
 - Meeting Rooms
 - Breaks and Lunches
 - Tuesday Night Dinner
 - Video Conference Call-in #s



Dark Energy Survey - DECam Project Draft Timeline for Critical Decisions & Reviews



Updated 06-Apr-07



Note:

Items marked in Red indicates change from prior version




Dark Energy Survey – DECam Project Draft Critical Decisions Prerequisites



Updated 01-Mar-07

Estimated Need by Dates for DOE Approvals and Documents



Action Items

a) Contact the agencies regarding the charge and expectations for the review.[Mont]